

Robot Perception & Intelligence Robot Navigation in Neural Radiance Fields

Student: Yudong Nan

Advisor: Simon Boche

Garching, 02. December 2024



Motivation



Source: Mip-NeRF 360 [Barron 2022], NeRF-Nav [Adamkiewicz 2022]

ПΠ

Outline

1. Introduction

- Background: Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF)
- NeRF as Environment Representation
- 2. Related Works
 - NeRF-Nav: Vision-Only Robot Navigation in a Neural Radiance World
 - CATNIPS: Collision Avoidance Through Neural Implicit Probabilistic Scenes
- 3. Methods
- 4. Experiments and Results
 - Planning Algorithm Performance
 - Computation Times
- 5. Future Works
 - Extensions to NeRF itself
 - Novel NeRF+Navigation Architectures



Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF)



NeRF as environment representation

Advantages:

- Direct training on RGB images
- Continuous density field, inherently encodes uncertainty
- Photorealistic synthetic images of unseen parts
- Captures complex materials (smoke, water, etc.)
- Memory efficiency for complex scenes

Challenge:

- Cannot directly provide spatial occupancy.
- Difficulty in estimating collision probabilities.



Related Works

IEEE ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION LETTERS. PREPRINT VERSION. ACCEPTED JANUARY, 2022.

Vision-Only Robot Navigation in a Neural Radiance World

Michal Adamkiewicz,*¹ Timothy Chen,*² Adam Caccavale,³ Rachel Gardner,¹ Preston Culbertson,³ Jeannette Bohg,¹ Mac Schwager²

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ROBOTICS, VOL. 40, 2024

CATNIPS: Collision Avoidance Through Neural Implicit Probabilistic Scenes

Timothy Chen^(D), Preston Culbertson^(D), Member, IEEE, and Mac Schwager^(D), Member, IEEE

Source: NeRF-Nav [Adamkiewicz 2022], CATNIPS [Chen 2024]





ПΠ

NeRF-Nav: Gradient-based Trajectory Optimization

(1)

(2)

$$p_t^{\text{coll}} = P\left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{b}_t \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbf{b}_t \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{coll}}\right) \ge \sum_{\mathbf{b}_t \in \mathcal{B}} \rho(\mathbf{b}_t) \ s(\mathbf{b}_t)$$

$$J(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) = \sum_{\tau=0}^h \left[\underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{B}} \rho(\mathbf{R}_{\tau} \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau})) s(\mathbf{b}_i)}_{\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{B}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{u}_{\tau}^T \Gamma \mathbf{u}_{\tau}}_{\mathbf{u}_{\tau}} \right]$$

- $\mathbf{b}_t \in \mathcal{B}$: point from robot bounding box,
 - \mathcal{X}_{coll} : collision set,
 - $\rho(\mathbf{b}_t)$: NeRF density value of point \mathbf{b}_t ,
 - $s(\mathbf{b}_t)$: distance traveled by a body-fixed point \mathbf{b}_t ,
 - \mathbf{u}_{τ} : control input at time τ ,
 - $\Gamma:$ diagonal matrix of weights penalizing control effort.



NeRF-Nav: Optimization-based State Estimation

$$J(\boldsymbol{\mu}_t) = \overbrace{\|C_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{T}_t) - I_t(\mathcal{J})\|_{\mathbf{S}_t^{-1}}^2}^{\text{photometric loss}} + \overbrace{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t|t-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t\|_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}}^2}^{\text{process loss}}$$

 $\mu_t: \text{ robot differential flatness state,} \\ C_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{T}_t): \text{ rendered image from NeRF,} \\ I_t(\mathcal{J}): \text{ real image pixels subject to ORB feature detector,} \\ \mathbf{S}_t: \text{ measurement noise covariance,} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}: \text{ robot state covariance,} \\ \|x\|_M^2 = x^T M x \text{ is the weighted } l_2 \text{ norm.} \end{aligned}$







NeRF-Nav: Online Replanning for Vision-only Navigation







CATNIPS: NeRF Density as a Poisson Point Process (PPP)

Under PPP Smoothness Assumptions,



Collision Probability $\longrightarrow Pr(N(B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{R})) \leq N_{\text{aux}}^{\text{max}}; \Lambda_B) \geq \sigma$

Source: CATNIPS [Chen 2024]



CATNIPS: NeRF Density as a Poisson Point Process (PPP)

Probabilistic Formulation: PPP



Probabilistic Unsafe Robot Region: PURR New Trilinearly-Interpolated Intensity Grid Image NeRF Density ♦ Queries (1) Collision No Representation (PURR) Voxel ▼ Grid Yes Safety Corridor Static Bounding Sphere Voxel Kernel Scene? Generator Constraints (+Trajectory Planner Actions/Waypoints Intensity Grid PURR Kernel Agent Next State (3)Replan? No, follow next Yes action/waypoint



CATNIPS: Chance-Constrained Trajectory Generation



Experimental Setup

- CATNIPS vs. Basegrid vs. NeRF-Nav with same A* initialization
- Metrics:
 - Safety: Signed distance, Volume intersection
 - Conservativeness: Path deviation
 - Efficiency: Computation time
- Planning on 100 randomized configurations
- Hardware: RTX 4060 Laptop GPU





Planning Algorithm Performance



Source: CATNIPS [Chen 2024]

Computation Times

Computation Time (seconds)		
Operation	CATNIPS/Basegrid	NeRF-Nav
Offline		
Robot Kernel	0.002	0.002
PURR/Basegrid	1.11	1.11
Gradients	N/A	9.31*
Online		
A*	0.16 ± 0.05	0.16
Bounding Box	0.12 ± 0.09	N/A
B-Spline	0.034 ± 0.029	N/A
Gradients	N/A	0.93**

* 1000 gradient steps.

** 100 gradient steps.

Extensions to NeRF itself

NeRF Limitations:

- Weak generalization ability across scenes
- Focus mainly on static environments and tasks
- Poor real-time performance: NeRF renders pixel-by-pixel, large rendering and training

New NeRF variants:

- Rapid scene adaptation using a prior over scenes [Tancik 2021]
- Handling dynamic scenes and moving objects, eg. D-NeRF [Pumarola 2021]
- Real-time efficiency, e.g. FastNeRF [Garbin 2021], InstantNGP [Müller 2022]
- 3D Gaussian Splatting?

ПΠ

Towards 3D Gaussian Splatting?



3D Gaussian Splatting:

- Smooth & differentiable
- Explicit geometry

Compared with NeRFs:

- State-of-the-art visual quality with real-time rendering (≥100 FPS at 1080p resolution)
- Limited interpolation at blank space or unseen parts



Source: 3DGS [Kerbl 2023]

TUΠ

Novel NeRF+Navigation Architectures

Active sensing / view planning:

- Use NeRF to render unseen parts for improved reasoning, e.g., object detection, task inference
- Find the next-best-view for autonomous exploration, e.g., ActiveNeRF [Pan 2022]



End-to-end navigation with NeRF:

- Unify Localization, Planning, and Control with online NeRF training, e.g., NICE-SLAM [Zhu 2022]
- Integrating multimodal inputs to optimize NeRF-based methods

TUΠ

References

[Mildenhall 2020] B. Mildenhall, P. P. Srinivasan, M. Tancik, J. T. Barron, R. Ramamoorthi, and R. Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. InECCV, 2020.

[Barron 2022] Barron, J. T., Mildenhall, B., Verbin, D., Srinivasan, P. P., & Hedman, P. (2022). Mip-nerf 360: Unbounded anti-aliased neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 5470-5479).

[Adamkiewicz 2022] M. Adamkiewicz, T. Chen, A. Caccavale, R. Gardner, P. Culbertson, J. Bohg, and M. Schwager. Vision-Only Robot Navigation in a Neural Radiance World. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters (RA-L), 7(2):4606–4613, Apr. 2022.

[Chen 2024] T. Chen, P. Culbertson, and M. Schwager. Catnips: Collision avoidance throughneural implicit probabilistic scenes. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 40:2712–2728, Apr. 2024.

[Kerbl 2023] B. Kerbl, G. Kopanas, T. Leimkühler, and G. Drettakis. 3d gaussian splattingfor real-time radiance field rendering. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 42(4), July 2023.

[Tancik 2021] M. Tancik, B. Mildenhall, T. Wang, D. Schmidt, P. P. Srinivasan, J. T. Bar-ron, and R. Ng. Learned initializations for optimizing coordinate-based neural representations. In CVPR, 2021.

[Müller 2022] Müller, T., Evans, A., Schied, C., & Keller, A. (2022). Instant neural graphics primitives with a multiresolution hash encoding. ACM transactions on graphics (TOG), 41(4), 1-15.

[Garbin 2021] S. J. Garbin, M. Kowalski, M. Johnson, J. Shotton, and J. Valentin. Fastnerf: High-fidelity neural rendering at 200fps. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.10380, 2021.

[Pumarola 2021] A. Pumarola, E. Corona, G. Pons-Moll, and F. Moreno-Noguer. D-NeRF: NeuralRadiance Fields for Dynamic Scenes. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Confer-ence on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021

[Zhu 2022] Z. Zhu, S. Peng, V. Larsson, W. Xu, H. Bao, Z. Cui, M. R. Oswald, and M. Polle-feys. Nice-slam: Neural implicit scalable encoding for slam. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR),2022.



Thank you for listening!

Questions & Comments are welcomed!

Poisson Point Process (PPP)

Poisson Random Variables

First, we recall that a discrete random variable (RV) N that takes values in \mathbb{N} is said to have a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda \ge 0$ if its probability mass function is given by



PPP on multi-dimensional Euclidean space

Definition 1 (Poisson Point Process). Consider a random process N on \mathbb{R}^n that maps subsets² $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of the state space to the random number N(B) of points that lie in B. We say N is a Poisson Point Process (PPP) with intensity $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ if:

(i) The number of points N(B) that lie in B is a Poisson RV with distribution

$$Pr(N(B) = m) = \frac{\Lambda(B)^m \exp(-\Lambda(B))}{m!},$$

where $\Lambda(B) = \int_{\mathbf{x}\in B} \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$.

(ii) For k disjoint subsets $B_1, \ldots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the number of points in each subset, $N(B_1), \ldots, N(B_k)$, are independent RVs.

bution, the expected number of points in the set B is identical to the integral of the intensity, in other words,

$$\mathbb{E}[N(B)] = \int_{B} \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (4)



Collision Prob. under PPP Explanation

$$Pr(N(B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{R})) \le N_{\text{aux}}^{\text{max}}; \Lambda_B) = \exp^{-\Lambda_B} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor N_{\text{aux}}^{\text{max}} \rfloor} \frac{\Lambda_B^i}{i!} \ge \sigma$$
(4)

 $B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{R})$: robot pose,

- $N(B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{R}))$: actual number of particles in the robot volume at the current pose, calculated by PPP CDF and intensity Λ_B ,
 - $N_{\rm aux}^{\rm max}$: maximum number of particles that should exist in the robot volume,
 - Λ_B : intensity for the particles over the robot body volume,
 - $\sigma = 95\%, 99\%$: desired probability threshold.

Mesh, PURR, Basegrid

